IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

DEBORAH HOWARD,)	
on behalf of herself and a class,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 1:18-cv-06742
)	
vs.)	Honorable Sarah L. Ellis
)	
BETTERNOI, LLC and,)	
SCREENING REPORTS, INC.)	
)	
Defendants.)	

<u>AMENDED COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION</u>

1. Plaintiff Deborah Howard ("Ms. Howard") brings this action against Screening Reports, Inc. and its parent corporation, BetterNOI, LLC, suppliers of specialized consumer reports (credit reports) to landlords, to secure redress for improper information on her consumer report and reckless and irresponsible reporting procedures. Plaintiff alleges violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq. ("FCRA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and 15 U.S.C. §1681p.
 - 3. Venue in this District is proper because defendants are located in this District.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Deborah Howard, is a resident of Cook County, Illinois.
- 5. Defendant BetterNOI, LLC ("BetterNOI") is a limited liability company organized under Illinois law with principal office at 220 Gerry Drive, Wood Dale, IL 60191.

6. BetterNOI regularly provides tenant screening services to landlords. It describes this aspect of its business as follows on its web site: "Effective resident screening combines credit and criminal background checks, application verifications and ongoing analysis of previous screening decisions. BetterNOI offers comprehensive, accurate and cost-effective screening designed exclusively for the multifamily industry."

(https://www.betternoi.com/resident-screening/) (Exhibit A).

7. BetterNOI touts the accuracy of its eviction information. It states on its web site (https://www.betternoi.com/resident-screening/#courtEvictionReport):

Eviction Record Investigations

Unlock critical information regarding an applicant's previous rental performance. Not available in standard Equifax, Experian, and TransUnion credit reports, court eviction reports may be a useful predictor of an applicant's future rental outcome. For example, a record of past court actions, not limited to evictions, against an applicant, may suggest a higher level of risk that the applicant may not satisfactorily fulfill his/her lease obligations.

Our detailed information, taken directly from the court record, includes:

- o Defendant Name and Address
- o Case Type, Date and Number
- o Case Status, Claim Amount and/or Judgment
- o Plaintiff/Petitioner Name and Telephone Number
- o Court/County

Our court eviction reports are supported by advanced technology and unsurpassed customer service. Whether you choose a customized applicant scoring model, designed with your specific guidelines in mind, or an accredited multi-family risk model, our reports allow you to analyze eviction records and provide clear accept/decline guidelines based on your established criteria. This ensures consistent decision making, improves Fair Housing compliance, and relieves your staff from having to interpret criminal reports and other subjective data.

- 8. Defendant BetterNOI is the parent company of Defendant Screening Reports, Inc. ("Screening Reports"). According to BetterNOI, Screening Reports, Inc., is the consumer reporting agency that prepared the consumer report at issue in this case.
- 9. Screening Reports is a corporation organized under Illinois law with principal office at 220 Gerry Drive, Wood Dale, IL 60191.
- 10. Screening Reports' website contains identical information as that described in ¶ 7, above. (http://betternoi.com/resident-screening/#courtEvictionReport) (Exhibit B).
 - 11. Defendants are consumer reporting agencies as defined in the FCRA.
 - 12. Defendants furnish their reports for monetary compensation.
 - 13. Defendants collectively create the reports at issue in this complaint.
- 14. As noted in defendants' promotional materials, the three major credit bureaus do not generally report information regarding the mere filing of a case against a consumer. Instead, they primarily only report foreclosures and actual monetary judgments against consumers. On information and belief, this is because they recognize that cases are often filed in error, may name the wrong consumer, and/or may contain false information.
- 15. Defendants, on the other hand, issue tenant screening reports which list all eviction cases filed against a consumer, regardless of the outcome, and suggests to its customers that such a report is a good tool to use in screening tenants. In addition, defendants offer the "service" to their customers, of supplying reports which indicate that an applicant should be rejected based on the mere existence of an eviction case having been filed against a consumer, without presenting any information regarding the actual outcome of the eviction case. On information and belief, defendants do not advise their customers that they should consider the

actual outcome of the eviction case, nor do they advise their customers of the actual outcome of the case.

FACTS

- 16. Ms. Howard resided at 4050 W. Hirsch, #1, Chicago, Illinois in 2016. This was a mixed use commercial/residential building with 11 residential apartments and a commercial unit. The residential units were subject to the Chicago Residential Landlord Tenant Ordinance.
- 17. In August 2016, an eviction action was filed against Ms. Howard by TICO Apartments, LLC, the landlord.
- 18. Ms. Howard moved out in July 2017 (nearly a year later) as a result of terrible housing conditions, including mold, rodents, roaches, broken appliances, charging her for utilities furnished to other units, harassment, and offensive communications from the landlord's representative ("the representative").
- 19. Ms. Howard had reported the representative's harassment to the City of Chicago shortly less than one year before the lawsuit was filed against her. The landlord's representative told her that he "could make things hard for her".
- 20. On information and belief, the lawsuit was filed in retaliation for Ms. Howard's complaint. The landlord continued accepting rent until Ms. Howard moved out nearly a year later.
- 21. Under §5-12-150 of the Chicago Residential Landlord-Tenant Ordinance, which applied to Ms. Howard's tenancy at 4050 W. Hirsch, #1, Chicago, Illinois, "if there is evidence of tenant conduct protected herein within one year prior to the alleged act of retaliation, that evidence shall create a rebuttable presumption that the landlord's conduct was retaliatory."

- 22. The summons and complaint were never served. Nothing was ever adjudicated.

 No order of possession or judgment was ever issued. A copy of the docket is attached as Exhibit C.
- 23. On or about January 3, 2017, BetterNOI, LLC issued a tenant screening report (Exhibit D) to LUCHA Humboldt Park Residence in connection with plaintiff's application for rental housing in Chicago, stating:

* * *

Eviction Records	Ι	DEBORAH HOWARD
Plaintiff	County	Date
Remarks	Address	Case Number
TICO APTS LLC	COOK	08/04/2016
	4050 W. HIRSCH #1 CHICAGO IL	16-M1-713783

* **

- 24. As a result Ms. Howard was initially denied housing.
- 25. On or about April 10, 2018, Ms. Howard disputed the accuracy of the report (Exhibit E).
 - 26. Defendants have not altered their report.
- 27. On information and belief, based on the manner in which plaintiff's eviction was reported, among other things, defendants' practice was to report only the fact that an eviction case had been filed, and not the actual outcome of the case.
- 28. The format and titles of the report ("eviction records") makes it ambiguous whether the case resulted in an outcome adverse to the tenant.

- 29. It is not consistent with the maximum possible accuracy standard to issue reports regarding the filing of an eviction case without also listing the current status and/or the actual resolution of the case.
- 30. It is materially misleading for defendants to report a disposition of "eviction" as occurring on the date the eviction case was filed, without also reporting the actual disposition and/or status of the case as the time the report was issued.
- 31. Defendants' practice allows the reporting as an "eviction record" of the filing of a lawsuit presumed to be retaliatory under the City of Chicago Residential Landlord-Tenant Ordinance.
- 32. The reporting as an "eviction record" of a lawsuit presumed to be retaliatory under the City of Chicago Residential Landlord-Tenant Ordinance is not consistent with the maximum possible accuracy standard.
 - 33. Plaintiff suffered embarrassment and humiliation.
- 34. Plaintiff also suffered economic injury as a result of being unable to rent the apartment she desired and expenditure of time and money looking for an apartment and dealing with defendants' false report.

COUNT I - FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-34
- 36. Under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681e, defendants are required to "follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates."

- 37. Preparing a report referring to "eviction records" but listing the date an eviction case is filed, without also reporting other relevant information, such as current status of the case and/or the actual resolution of the case does not comply with the maximum possible accuracy standard.
- 38. The mere fact that an eviction case was filed against somebody does not "suggest a higher level of risk that the applicant may not satisfactorily fulfill his/her lease obligations," and the inclusion of an eviction based on such circumstances, given defendants' stated reason for such inclusion, is a false and misleading representation.
- 39. On information and belief, defendants' report on plaintiff was prepared according to defendants' normal practices and procedures for preparing reports on individuals.
 - 40. Defendants negligently or willfully violated 15 U.S.C. §1681n and/or §1681o.
 - 41. Section 1681n provides:
 - §1681n. Civil liability for willful noncompliance
 - (a) In general. Any person who willfully fails to comply with any requirement imposed under this title with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of—

(1)

- (A) any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure or damages of not less than \$ 100 and not more than \$ 1,000; or
- (2) such amount of punitive damages as the court may allow; and
- (3) in the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court....
- 42. Section 16810 provides:

§16810. Civil liability for negligent noncompliance

- (a) In general. Any person who is negligent in failing to comply with any requirement imposed under this title with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of--
 - (1) any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure;
 - (2) in the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 43. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3), plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a class.
- 44. The class consists of (a) all natural persons (b) who were the subject of a consumer report prepared or provided by defendants (c) on or after a date two years prior to the filing of this action, (d) which report noted an "eviction record" using the date an eviction case was filed (e) without also listing the actual status of the case at the time the report was issued.
- 45. The class members are so numerous that joinder is impracticable. On information and belief, there are more than 40 members of each class.
- 46. There are questions of law and fact common to the class members, which common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members. The predominant common question is whether defendants' practices violate the standard of maximum possible accuracy.
- 47. Plaintiff's claim is typical of the claims of the class members. All are based on the same factual and legal theories.
 - 48. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class members.

Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and class action cases.

49. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute. Individual cases are not economically feasible. Many consumers may not realize that their rights are violated.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of plaintiff and the class members and against defendants for:

- (1) Statutory damages;
- (2) Punitive damages;
- (3) Actual damages;
- (4) Attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit;
- (5) Such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

/s/ Tara L. Goodwin
Tara L. Goodwin

Daniel A. Edelman
Tara L. Goodwin
Mary Frances Charlton
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER & GOODWIN, LLC
20 S. Clark Street, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 739-4200
(312) 419-0379 (FAX)
courtecl@edcombs.com

Frank Avellone Senior Attorney & Policy Coordinator LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR BETTER HOUSING 33 N LaSalle St., Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 347-7600 (312) 347-7604 (FAX)

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

/s/ Tara L. Goodwin
Tara L. Goodwin

NOTICE OF LIEN AND ASSIGNMENT

Please be advised that we claim a lien upon any recovery herein for 1/3 or such amount as a court awards. All rights relating to attorney's fees have been assigned to counsel.

<u>/s/ Tara L. Goodwin</u> Tara L. Goodwin

Daniel A. Edelman
Tara L. Goodwin
Mary Frances Charlton
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER & GOODWIN, LLC
20 S. Clark Street, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 739-4200
(312) 419-0379 (FAX)
courtecl@edcombs.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Tara L Goodwin, hereby certify that on January 15, 2019, I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document to be filed via the courts CM/ECF online system, which sent notice via email to all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Tara L. Goodwin
Tara L. Goodwin

Daniel A. Edelman
Tara L. Goodwin
Mary Frances Charlton
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER & GOODWIN, LLC
20 S. Clark Street, Suite 1500
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 739-4200
(312) 419-0379 (FAX)
courtecl@edcombs.com